Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



September 2000

NOTICE

The statements in this document have been developed by the EPA solely for use as guidance for economic analysts in the Agency, and those consultants, contractors, or other persons who perform work under Agency contract or sponsorship. In addition, publication of these Guidelines makes information on the principles, concepts, and methods used by the Agency available to all interested members of the public. This document is not intended, nor can it be relied upon, to create any rights enforceable by any party in litigation with the United States. The Agency may decide to follow the guidance provided in this document, or to act at variance with the guidance based on its analysis of the specific facts present. This guidance may be revised without public notice to reflect changes in the Agency's approach to preparing economic analyses, or to clarify and update text.

Preface

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Regulatory Policy Council oversees regulatory planning by the Agency. In this capacity, the Council establishes analytical procedures on risk management issues to ensure that high quality and consistent practices are followed in accordance with Federal and Agency regulatory procedures. In early 1996, the conduct and consistency of economic analyses prepared in support of regulatory actions were identified as areas in need of updated and more specific guidance than was presently available in the Agency. The Regulatory Policy Council assembled a group economists and policy analysts serving in offices throughout the EPA to serve on an Economic Consistency Workgroup. The Council instructed the Workgroup to develop a series of issue papers to assess important economic analytical issues facing the Agency. After discussing the results of the findings in the issue papers, the Council charged the Workgroup with preparing a guidance document to assist in the preparation of economic analyses used for regulatory development and policy evaluation conducted by the Agency.

The *Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses* (or *EA Guidelines*) is part of a continuing effort by the EPA to develop improved guidance on the preparation and use of sound science in support of the decision making process. The *EA Guidelines* provide guidance on analyzing the benefits, costs, and economic impacts of regulations and policies. The document draws from several previously published sources, including existing economic guidelines materials prepared by the EPA in the mid-1980s, other Agency economic analyses and handbooks, and materials prepared by the Office of Management and Budget in support of Executive Order 12866 on regulatory planning and analysis. It seeks to incorporate recent theoretical, empirical, and modeling advances in environmental economics, drawing upon the considerable body of scholarly literature.

In an effort to ensure the *EA Guidelines* presents sound, scientific information consistent with mainstream practices in environmental economics, the Agency's Science Advisory Board (SAB) was charged with undertaking an extensive peer review of the document. The review was performed by the SAB's Environmental Economics Advisory Committee (EEAC), comprising leading U.S. environmental economists affiliated with major colleges, universities and economic research institutions. The EEAC provided substantial input on the content and organization of the document, reviewing the materials for accuracy in both economic theory and practice. In their final review report to the Agency (included as Appendix A in this document), the SAB concluded that the *EA Guidelines* receive an overall rating of "excellent," saying it "succeed(s) in reflecting methods and practices that enjoy widespread acceptance in the environmental economics profession."

Constant advances in theoretical and empirical research in the field of environmental economics will require that the Agency reexamine the *EA Guidelines* on a continual basis. The Agency will again enlist experts in the field of environmental economics and engage in an open review of the scientific basis of the document when it is reevaluated in the future.



Preface

Table of Contents

Prefac	e	
List of	Acronyms and Abbreviations	vi
Acknov	wledgments	ix
Chapte	er 1: Introduction	1
Chapte	er 2: Statutory and Executive Order Requirements for Conducting Economic Analyses	5
Chapte	er 3: Statement of Need for the Proposal	
3	3.1 Introduction	9
3	3.2 Problem Definition	9
3	3.3 Reasons for Market or Institutional Failure	9
3	3.4 Need for Federal Action	10
-	er 4: Regulatory and Non-Regulatory Approaches to Consider	
4	4.1 Introduction	11
4	4.2 Traditional Design-Based Command and Control	11
4	4.3 Performance-Oriented Approaches	11
4	4.4 Market-Oriented Approaches	12
	4.4.1 Descriptions of Market-Based Approaches	12
	4.4.2 Selecting Market-Oriented Approaches	13
4	4.5 Non-Regulatory Approaches	14
4	4.6 Fine-tuning Policy Approaches	15
4	4.7 References	16
Chapte	er 5: Overview of Economic Analysis of Environmental Policy	
4	5.1 Introduction	19
5	5.2 Economic Framework and Definition of Terms	19
5	5.3 Baseline Specification	21
	5.3.1 Guiding Principles for Baseline Specification	21
	5.3.2 Compliance Rate Issues and Baseline Specification	23
	5.3.3 Multiple Rules or Regulations and Baseline Specification	25
	5.3.4 Summary	25
4	5.4 Predicting Responses to a New Environmental Policy	26
4	5.5 Analyzing and Presenting Uncertainty	27
	5.5.1 Guiding Principles for Uncertainty Analysis	27



Table of Contents

5.5.2 Performing Sensitivity Analysis	
5.5.3 Welfare Considerations Related to Uncertainty and Risk	
5.6 Emerging Cross-Cutting Issues	30
5.7 References	31
Chapter 6: Analysis of Social Discounting	
6.1 Introduction	33
6.2 General Considerations in Social Discounting	
6.2.1 Social and Private Discounting	34
6.2.2 Methods for Summarizing Present and Future Costs and Benefits	34
6.2.3 Sensitivity of Present Value Estimates to the Discount Rate	36
6.2.4 Distinguishing Discounting from Other Procedures	37
6.3 Approaches to Social Discounting	38
6.3.1 Intra-Generational Social Discounting	38
6.3.2 Inter-Generational Social Discounting	48
6.4 Discounting and Non-Monetized Effects	52
6.4.1 Perspectives on Discounting Non-Monetized Effects	53
6.4.2 When Discounting Non-Monetized Effects Is Appropriate	53
6.4.3 When Discounting Non-Monetized Effects Might Not Be Appropriate	54
6.5 References	56
Chapter 7: Analyzing Benefits	
7.1 Introduction to Analyzing Benefits	59
7.2 A Conceptual Framework for Benefits Analysis	
7.2.1 Welfare Measures: Willingness to Pay and Willingness to Accept Compensation	on
7.2.2 Market Goods: Using Consumer Surplus and Demand Curves	61
7.2.3 Non-Market Goods	
7.3 The Benefit Analysis Process	
7.3.1 A General "Effect-by-Effect" Approach	
7.3.2 Implementation Principles	65
7.4 Types of Benefits Associated with Environmental Policies	
7.4.1 Human Health: Mortality Risks	68
7.4.2 Human Health: Morbidity	
7.4.3 Amenities	69
7.4.4 Ecological Benefits	69
7.4.5 Reduced Materials Damages	
7.5 Methods for Benefits Valuation	
7.5.1 Market Methods	
7.5.2 Revealed Preference Methods	

	7.5.3 Stated Preference Methods)
	7.5.4 Benefit Transfer	,
	7.6 Values for Major Benefit Categories	7
	7.6.1 Human Health: Mortality Risks	7
	7.6.2 Human Health: Morbidity Risks94	í
	7.6.3 Ecological Benefit Valuation	3
	7.6.4 Materials Damage)
	7.7 References	L
Chap	ter 8: Analyzing Social Costs	
•	8.1 Introduction	;
	8.2 The Theory of Social Cost Analysis	;
	8.2.1 An Illustration of Social Costs and Externalities	
	8.3 A General Approach to Social Cost Analysis	;
	8.3.1 Estimating the Supply and Demand Equations of All the Affected Markets	;
	8.3.2 Determining the Different Types of Social Costs)
	8.3.3 Other Issues Arising in Presentation of Social Costs	;
	8.4 Modeling Tools	í
	8.4.1 The Basic Framework	í
	8.4.2 The Direct Compliance Cost Method	í
	8.4.3 Partial Equilibrium Analysis	;
	8.4.4 Multi-Market Models	í
	8.4.5 General Equilibrium Analysis	ó
	8.5 Estimating the Social Costs of Alternative Policy Approaches)
	8.5.1 Direct (or Standards-Based) Controls)
	8.5.2 Incentive-Based Controls	Į
	8.5.3 Voluntary Actions	,
	8.6 Summary and Conclusions	,
	8.7 References	7
Chap	ter 9: Distributional Analyses: Economic Impact Analyses and Equity Assessments	
1	9.1 Introduction)
	9.1.1 A Process for Economic Impact Analyses and Equity Assessments	
	9.2 Economic Impact Analysis	
	9.2.1 Introduction to Economic Impact Analysis	
	9.2.2 Statutes and Policies Requiring Examination of Economic Impacts	
	9.2.3 Models for Assessing Economic Impacts	
	9.2.4 Calculating Compliance Costs	7
	9.2.5 Screening for Potentially Significant Impacts	3

Table of Contents

9.2.6 Prof	ile of Affected Entities	149
9.2.7 Imp	acts on Prices	151
9.2.8 Imp	acts on Production and Employment	152
9.2.9 Imp	acts on Profitability and Plant Closures	153
9.2.10 Im	pacts on Related Industries and Consumers	155
9.2.11 Im	pacts on Innovation, Productivity, and Economic Growth	155
9.2.12 Im	pacts on Industry Competitiveness	155
9.2.13 Im	pacts on Government Entities and Not-for-Profit Organizations	156
9.3 Equity Ass	essment	160
9.3.1 Intro	oduction	160
9.3.2 Statu	ites and Policies Requiring Equity Assessment and Definitions of Sub-Populations .	161
9.3.3 Entit	ty Size	161
9.3.4 Mino	ority Status and Income Level	164
9.3.5 Chile	dhood Status	166
9.3.6 Case	Specific Equity Dimensions	167
9.3.7 A Fra	amework for Equity Assessment	168
9.3.8 Data	for Conducting Equity Assessments	170
9.4 References		172
Chapter 10: Using E	conomic Analyses in Decision Making	
10.1 Introduct	ion	175
10.2 Commun	icating Assumptions and Methods	175
10.3 Presentin	g the Results	176
10.3.1 Re	sults from Benefit-Cost Analysis	177
10.3.2 Re	sults from Economic Impacts Analysis and Equity Assessments	177
10.3.3 Re	esults from Cost-Effectiveness Analysis	178
10.4 Use of Ec	onomic Analyses in Policy Choices	178
10.5 Reference	s	179
Appendices		
A. An SAB Repo	ort on the EPA Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analysis	181
B. EPA's Respor	nse to SAB Review	205

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AC annualized costs

BAT best available technology
BCA benefit-cost analysis

BPT best practicable technology

CA conjoint analysis
CE certainty equivalent

CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

CFC chlorofluorocarbons

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
CGE computable general equilibrium

COI cost of illness

CPI Consumer Price Index
CR contingent ranking
CV contingent valuation
DALY disability-adjusted life year
DOE Department of Energy

DOT Department of Transportation

DWL dead weight loss
EA economic analysis

EBIT earnings before interest and taxes

EEAC Environmental Economics Advisory Committee

EIA economic impact analysis

EO Executive Order

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

FINDS Facility Index Data System

FTE full-time equivalent employment

GDP gross domestic product

I/O input-output

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

LP linear programming
MR marginal revenue
MPC marginal private costs
MSC marginal social costs
MSD marginal social damages

NAICS North American Industrial Classification System

NB net benefits



Acronyms and Abbreviations

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NESHAP National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutant

NFV net future value

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

OCC opportunity cost of capital

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

OLS ordinary least squares

OMB Office of Management and Budget

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PRA Paperwork Reduction Act

POTW publicly-owned (wastewater) treatment work

PVC present value of costs
QALY quality-adjusted life year

RAPIDS Rule and Policy Information Development System

RFA Regulatory Flexibility Act
RIA regulatory impact analysis
RUM random utility model
SAB Science Advisory Board
SAM social accounting matrix

S&P Standard & Poors

SBA Small Business Administration

SBREFA Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

SIC Standard Industrial Classification
TAMM Timber Assessment Market Model

TSLS two-stage least squares

UMRA Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

USC United States Code
VSL value of statistical life
VSLY value of statistical life-year
WTA willingness to accept
WTP willingness to pay

Acknowledgments

The preparation of the Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses (or EA Guidelines) was managed under the direction of the Regulatory Policy Council, chaired by the Deputy Administrator of EPA. Initial work on the EA Guidelines began in 1996 under the direction of Deputy Administrator, Fred J. Hansen during his tenure at the Agency, and concluded in 2000 under Deputy Administrator, W. Michael McCabe.

The principal manager of the Economic Consistency Workgroup was Al McGartland, Director, National Center for Environmental Economics. The Economic Consistency Workgroup consists of staff economists and policy analysts from across the Agency, who contributed to the development and review of the materials contained in the EA Guidelines. The primary writers/editors for the EA Guidelines were Chris Dockins and Brett Snyder. Additional persons responsible for final preparation of the text include: Liwayway Adkins, Kathleen Bell, Jennifer Bowen, Jared Creason, Richard Garbaccio, Richard Iovanna, Robin Jenkins, Elizabeth McClelland, Nicole Owens, Michael Podolsky, Keith Sargent, Nathalie Simon, Lanelle Wiggins and Melonie Williams.

Representatives from EPA's many different program offices contributed to development and review of the EA Guidelines as members of the Economic Consistency Workgroup. Persons playing more active roles in the review process included: Ghulam Ali, Rebecca K. Allen, Paul Balserak, Allen Basala, John B. Bennett, Paul Borst, Nick Bouwes, Jennifer Bowen, Ed Chu, Matthew Clark, Gary Cole, Jim DeMocker, Mark Eads, Ron Evans, Sandy Evelanko, Glenn Farber, John Faulkner, Leslye Fraser, Arthur Grube, Patricia Hall, Chris Herman, Bryan Hubbell, Barnes Johnson, Robert Lee, Jonathan Libber, Mahesh Podar, John R. Powers, Elliot Rosenberg, Jean Schumann, Ann Watkins, William Wheeler, David Widawsky and Jan Young.

In addition to EPA staff, a number of contractors developed key materials used as technical assistance in preparation of the EA Guidelines and supporting documents. They include Frank Arnold and Francis Sussman of ICF Consulting, Inc.; Lisa Robinson, Marla Markowski, Jim Neumann and Robert Unsworth, of Industrial Economics; Leland Deck, Ellen Post and Penny Schafer of Abt Associates; Robert Raucher, Brian Hurd, Lauraine Chesnut, David Mills, Greg Pitts, Tom Ottom of Stratus Consulting; Richard Bishop of University of Wisconsin-Madison; Michael Hanemann of University of California-Berkeley; David Burmaster of Alceon Corporation; and Anna Alberini of University of Colorado-Boulder.

The Science Advisory Board (SAB) review of the EA Guidelines was supervised by Donald G. Barnes, Director of the SAB Staff and by Dr. Joan M. Daisy, Chair of the SAB. Thomas Miller was the Designated Federal Official for the SAB-Environmental Economics Advisory Committee (EEAC) charged with conducting the peer review of the EA Guidelines. Dorothy Clark provided administrative management support to the SAB-EEAC.

The SAB-EEAC was chaired by Dr. Robert Stavins of the John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University. SAB-EEAC members serving on the committee during the review of the EA Guidelines included: Dr. Nancy Bockstael of University of Maryland-College Park, Dr. Dallas Burtraw of Resources for the Future, Dr. Trudy Cameron of University of California-Los Angeles, Dr. Maureen Cropper of the World Bank, Dr. Herman Daly of University of Maryland-College Park, Dr. A. Myrick Freeman of Bowdoin College, Dr. Lawrence Goulder of Stanford University, Dr. Dale Jorgenson of Harvard University, Dr. Paul Joskow of Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Dr. Catherine Kling of Iowa State University, Dr. Charles Kolstad of University of California-Santa Barbara, Dr. Richard Revesz of New York University School of Law, Dr. Jason Shogren of University of Wyoming, Dr. Hilary Sigman of Rutgers University, Dr. Richard Schmalensee of Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and Dr. V. Kip Viscusi of Harvard University.



Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments